

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/835,884

Applicant(s)
Arthur Sarkissian

Examiner
Lun-yi Lao

Art Unit
2673



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lun-yi Lao

(3) _____

(2) Arthur Sarkissian

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 1, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant needs to file a RCE or Notice of Appeal in order to continue to process the application since those amendments after final will not be entered and they have been raised new issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LUN-YI LAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2673

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required